

Ceresa, C, Fracchia, L, Williams, M, Banat, IM and Diaz De Rienzo, MA

The effect of sophorolipids against microbial biofilms on medical-grade silicone

<http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/id/eprint/11956/>

Article

Citation (please note it is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from this work)

Ceresa, C, Fracchia, L, Williams, M, Banat, IM and Diaz De Rienzo, MA (2019) The effect of sophorolipids against microbial biofilms on medical-grade silicone. Journal of Biotechnology, 309. pp. 34-43. ISSN 0168-1656

LJMU has developed **[LJMU Research Online](#)** for users to access the research output of the University more effectively. Copyright © and Moral Rights for the papers on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Users may download and/or print one copy of any article(s) in LJMU Research Online to facilitate their private study or for non-commercial research. You may not engage in further distribution of the material or use it for any profit-making activities or any commercial gain.

The version presented here may differ from the published version or from the version of the record. Please see the repository URL above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information please contact researchonline@ljmu.ac.uk

The effect of sophorolipids against microbial biofilms on medical-grade silicone.

Ceresa C^{1†}, Fracchia L^{1†}, Williams M² Banat IM³ and Díaz De Rienzo, MA²

1. Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences. Università del Piemonte Orientale "A. Avogadro", Novara, 28100, Italy.

2. School of Pharmacy and Biomolecular Sciences, Liverpool John Moores University, L3 3AF Liverpool, UK.

3. School of Biomedical Sciences, University of Ulster, Coleraine, BT52 1SA, Northern Ireland, UK.

* Corresponding author:

Dr M. A. Diaz De Rienzo

Lecturer in Biotechnology

Pharmacy and Biomolecular Sciences

James Parsons Building 10.05C, Byrom Street, Liverpool, L3 3AF

t: 01512312202

e: m.a.diaz@ljmu.ac.uk

†These authors equally contributed to this work.

ABSTRACT

Recent medical strategies rely on the search for effective antimicrobials as surface coatings to prevent and treat infections in humans and animals. Biosurfactants have recently been shown to have properties as antiadhesive and antibiofilm agents. Sophorolipids in particular are biosurfactant molecules known to act as therapeutic agents. This study aimed to evaluate antimicrobial properties of sophorolipids in medical-grade silicone discs using strains of clinical relevance. Sophorolipids were produced under fed batch conditions, ESI-MS analyses were carried out to confirm the congeners present in each formulation. Three different products were obtained SLA (acidic congeners), SL18 (lactonic congeners) and SLV (mixture of acidic and lactonic congeners) and were tested against *Staphylococcus aureus* ATCC 6538, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* ATCC 10145 and *Candida albicans* IHEM 2894. All three congener mixtures showed a biofilms disruption effect ($> 0.1\%$ w/v) of 70%, 75% and 80% for *S. aureus*, *P. aeruginosa* and *C. albicans*, respectively. On pre-coated silicone discs, biofilm formation of *S. aureus* was reduced by 75% using SLA 0.8% w/v. After 1.5 h the inhibition of *C. albicans* attachment was between 45-56% whilst after 24 h incubation the percentage of inhibition for the cell attachment increased to 68-70% when using SLA 0.8% w/v. Finally, in co-incubation experiments SLA 0.05% w/v significantly reduced the ability of *S. aureus* and *C. albicans* to form biofilms and to adhere to surfaces by 90-95% at concentrations between 0.025-0.1% w/v. In conclusion sophorolipids significantly reduced the cell attachment of both tested strains which suggests that these molecules could have a potential role as coating agents on medical grade silicone devices for the preventions of Gram positive bacteria and yeast infections.

Keywords

Sophorolipids, biofilms, *Candida albicans*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, medical-grade silicone.

1. INTRODUCTION

It is well known that up to 80% of microbial infections that develop in humans are due to biofilm development (Römling and Balsalobre, 2012). Biofilm infections are associated with pathogenic or opportunistic bacteria linked to chronic condition with recurrent or long lasting infections despite the host's immune response and antibiotic therapy (Hall-Stoodley and Stoodley, 2009). Biofilms develop preferentially on dead tissues or on inert surfaces, such as medical devices (Lambe et al., 1991), but may also form on living tissues, as in the case of endocarditis (Costerton et al., 1999). Therefore, although many biofilm infections develop slowly and initially produce few symptoms, they represent serious clinical problems because they promote complex responses by the immune system and act as reservoirs of acute infections (Donlan and Costerton, 2002).

It is difficult however to evaluate the contribution of biofilms in human disease due to the lack of criteria to characterize the biofilm-induced pathogenesis (Hall-Stoodley and Stoodley, 2009). About 60-70% of nosocomial infections are due to the implantation of medical devices to improve the quality of life for patients and to provide better medical care (Darouiche, 2001; Bryers, 2008). The cause of these infections is often attributed to the development of microbial biofilms on devices, and it has been observed that the onset of an inflammatory response following implantation can lead to the formation of molecules favouring biofilm adhesion (Hall-Stoodley et al., 2004).

Almost all surfaces can be colonized by biofilms and practically all medical devices or tissue engineering constructs are susceptible to colonization and microbial infection (Castelli et al., 2007). Biofilm development is often observed on urinary catheters (Stickler, 2008), central venous catheters (Petrelli et al., 2006), catheters in the cerebrospinal fluid (Odds, 1988), cardiac prosthetic valves (Litzler et al., 2007), pacemakers (Kojic and Darouiche, 2004), endotracheal tubes (Odds, 1988), silicone vocal prostheses (Buijssen et al., 2007), contact

lenses (Imamura et al., 2008), hip prostheses (Dempsey et al. , 2007) and intrauterine devices (Chassot et al., 2008).

The colonization of the medical device can lead to tissue damage, systemic infection and altered device functioning, therefore, once the biofilm has formed it is almost always necessary to remove the device to eliminate the infection. The elimination of the infected device and the use of high dose antimicrobial agents for long periods of time are essential for successful therapy against these infections. The main problem with this approach is the frequent development of antibiotic resistance (Rodrigues, 2011). Therefore, it is clinically very important to develop technologies to control the formation and growth of biofilms (Fracchia et al., 2012). For this reason, medical devices are often coated with antimicrobial and anti-adhesive agents in order to prevent the adhesion and development of biofilm with a consequent reduction of infections related to them (von Eiff et al., 2005; Basak et al., 2009).

Surfactants are amphiphilic molecules that are contained in a significative number of products in use daily and therefore are part of all aspects of our daily lives. Their properties make them very useful for many industrial and domestic applications, with a global production exceeding 13 million tonnes per year (Marchant and Banat, 2012). Interest in the use of biosurfactants in general is steadily increasing in healthcare associated applications to reduce infections (Krasowska, 2010) particularly, involving their use in controlling biofilms formation and/or their disruption. Previous studies have shown that the interaction of biosurfactants with different surfaces can affect their hydrophobic properties affecting the microorganism's adhesion abilities and consequent biofilm formation (Shah et al., 2007). Sophorolipids showed bactericidal properties when compared to conventional antimicrobial agents with bacteriostatic effects (Diaz De Rienzo et al., 2015). Previous studies indicating the anti-adhesive properties of biosurfactants have used pure cultures of microorganisms,

however, analysis of a typical biofilm reveals predominantly mixed cultures. Additionally, the nutritional composition of biofilms has been shown to affect the adhesion characteristics of single and mixed cultures (Zezzi do Valle Gomes and Nitscke, 2012). This work aims to investigate the antimicrobial effect of sophorolipids on medical grade silicon material surfaces using microbial strains of clinical relevance: *Candida albicans*, *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *C. albicans* is the most common fungal human pathogen causing diseases ranging from superficial mucocutaneous infections to life-threatening candidiasis (Pfaller and Diekema, 2007; Ganguly and Mitchell, 2011). *S. aureus* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* are pathogen microorganisms responsible for an important number of clinical infections, including bacteraemia, and device-related infections among others (Tong et al., 2015, Zhang et al., 2018).

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Microorganisms and media

Candida bombicola ATCC 22214 was the microorganism used to produce sophorolipids, it was stored in nutrient broth with 20% glycerol at -80°C until further use. The culture medium for the production of sophorolipids was glucose/yeast extract/urea (GYU) (Diaz De Rienzo, et al 2015). Rapeseed oil, was used as a second carbon source, fed at regular intervals to induce sophorolipid production. *Candida albicans* IHEM 2894 strain was cultivated in Yeast Nitrogen Base broth (YNBD) + 50 mM Dextrose and stored at -80°C until further use. *Staphylococcus aureus* ATCC 6538, was cultivated in Tryptic Soy Broth (TSB) + 1% glucose (G) and stored at -80°C until further use. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* ATCC 10145, was cultivated in Brain Heart Infusion (BHI) + 1% G and stored at -80°C until further use.

2.2 Production of sophorolipids

Crude SL mixtures were obtained as crude extract from fed batch cultivation of *C. bombicola* ATCC 22214 (Shah et al., 2005), feeding glucose and oleic acid rather than waste frying oil at 1.5%, 2% and 4% w/v to induce the production of different congeners. The dry matter content was classified as SLA (acidic congeners), SL18 (lactonic congeners) and SLV (mixture of both congeners). Sophorolipids were extracted and partially purified by chemical extraction (Smyth et al., 2009). For mass analysis, partially purified sophorolipids were dissolved in methanol and characterised by electrospray ionisation–mass spectrometry (ESI–MS) using a Waters LCT mass spectrometer in negative-ion mode. Data was collected via direct infusion using a syringe pusher over 0.5/min in methanol. A desolvation temperature of 200°C was applied together with a Desolvation Gas Flow (L/h) of 694 and a capillary voltage of 3000V.

2.3 Medical-grade silicone elastomeric discs preparation.

Medical-grade silicone elastomeric discs (SEDs) of 10 mm in diameter, 1.5 mm in thickness were used for experiments in 24-well culture tissue plates, each silicone disc was cleaned, sterilized and conditioned according to Ceresa et al., 2016 with minor modifications. The discs were sonicated for 5 min at 60 kHz using Elma S30H and rinsed two times with distillate water. Then, discs were submerged in 20 mL of MeOH, sonicated for 5 min at 60 kHz, rinsed twice and steam sterilized for 15 min at 121°C.

2.4 Antimicrobial susceptibility of *C. albicans*, *S. aureus* and *P. aeruginosa* biofilm towards sophorolipids.

C. albicans IHEM 2894 biofilm were formed according to Chandra et al., 2008. Fungal cells were suspended in Phosphate Buffered Saline (PBS) +10% Fetal Bovine Serum (FBS) and adjusted up to 1×10^7 CFU/mL. The discs were inoculated with 1mL of the suspension and, after cell adhesion (1.5 h), were moved into a new 24-well plate in the presence of 1mL of YNBD +10% FBS and incubated for 24 h at 37°C at 90 rpm to promote the biofilm growth phase.

S. aureus ATCC 6538 was grown in TSB + 1% G, and the suspension was adjusted up to a concentration of 1×10^7 CFU/mL. Silicone discs were submerged with 1 mL of bacterial suspension and incubated for 24 h at 37°C in static conditions as described before.

P. aeruginosa ATCC 10145 was grown in BHI + 1% G, and the suspension was adjusted up to a concentration of 1×10^6 CFU/mL. Silicone discs were submerged with 1 mL of bacterial suspension and incubated for 24 h at 37°C and 140 rpm.

Microbial pre-formed biofilms were then treated with different concentrations of SLA and SL18 ranging from 0.05%-0.4%, of SLV ranging from 0.025%-0.2% and incubated for 24 h at 37°C. The antimicrobial activity of SLA, SLV and SL18 was evaluated using 3-[4,5-

dimethylthiazol-2-yl]-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT)-based colorimetric assay (Trafny et al., 2013). Biofilms were washed three times with PBS for removal of non-adherent cells and moved in 1 mL of 0.3% MTT solution supplemented with 0.01% G and 1 μ M menadione. After 30 min of incubation time at 37°C, formazan crystals were dissolved with 1ml of DMSO/0.1M glycine buffer (pH 10.2) solution (7:1). From each biofilm, 200 μ L were transferred to a new 96-well plate and the absorbance was measured at 570 nm. The assay was carried out in triplicate and repeated three times for all of SLA, SLV and SL18 concentrations used for the test (n=9).

2.5 Disruption properties of sophorolipids towards *C. albicans*, *S. aureus* and *P. aeruginosa*.

2.5.1 Co-Incubation

Silicone discs were submerged in 500 μ L of *C. albicans* IHEM 2894 inoculum (2×10^7 CFU/mL in PBS + 20% FBS) and an equal volume of double-concentrated SLA (0.05%), SL18 (0.05%) and SLV solutions (0.025% and 0.05%) (test groups) or PBS (control group). After the adhesion phase, discs were placed in a new plate containing 1 mL of YNBD + 10% FBS + 0%, 0.025%, 0.05%, 0.1% SLs and incubated for 24 h at 37°C and 90 rpm.

For *S. aureus* ATCC 6538, silicone discs were inoculated with an equal volume of a bacterial suspension (2×10^7 CFU/ml in TSB 2X + 2% G) and SLs (0.05%, 0.1%, 0.2%) or PBS and incubated at 37°C for 24 h.

For *P. aeruginosa* ATCC 10145, silicone discs were inoculated with an equal volume of a bacterial suspension (2×10^6 CFU/ml in BHI 2X + 2% G) and SLs (0.05%, 0.1%, 0.2%) or PBS and incubated at 37°C for 24 h and 140rpm.

The biofilm biomass was quantified by the crystal violet (0.2%) assay. Biofilms were washed three times with PBS, air-dried and coloured for 10 min and the absorbance at 570 nm was

measured. Assays were carried out in triplicate and the experiments were repeated three times (n=9).

2.5.2 Pre-coating

Elastomeric discs were dipped in 1 mL of SLs solutions at concentrations ranging from 0.2% to 0.8% (test groups) or PBS (control group) and incubated for 24 h at 37°C and 180 rpm.

In the case of *C. albicans*, discs were moved into 24-well plates containing 1 mL of suspension, standardised to 1×10^7 CFU/mL in PBS + 10% FBS. After the adhesion phase, the discs were transferred into a new plate as described before in the co-incubation section.

In the case of *S. aureus*, discs were incubated with 1 mL of the bacterial suspension at the concentration of 1×10^7 CFU/mL at 37°C for 24 h, whilst for *P. aeruginosa*, discs were incubated with 1 mL of the bacterial suspension at the concentration of 1×10^6 CFU/mL at 37°C and 140 rpm for 24 h.

The anti-adhesion and anti-biofilm activity of SLs-coated discs were evaluated respectively after 1.5 h and 24 h using the previously described CV staining method. Assays were carried out in triplicate and experiments were repeated two times (n=6).

2.6 SEM Analysis

The effect of SLA, SL18 and SLV on cells of *Candida albicans* IHEM 2894, *Staphylococcus aureus* ATCC 6538 and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* ATCC 10145 were evaluated through SEM according to the method described by Ceresa et al., 2015. Each disc was washed three times in PBS, fixed in a 2.5% glutaraldehyde solution for 24 h at 4°C, washed twice in distilled water, dehydrated and dried overnight. SEM analyses were conducted in a FEI QUANTA 200 with a variable range 1-30 KV beam voltage.

2.7 Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was carried out by means of the statistical program R (R Development Core Team, <http://www.R-project.org>). ANOVA followed by Tukey's HSD post-test was used to compare the effect of different SLA, SL18 and SLV concentrations against *C. albicans* IHEM 2894, *P. aeruginosa* ATCC 10145 and *S. aureus* ATCC 6538 biofilm formation and pre-formed biofilm in comparison with positive growth controls.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Fermentation process: *Sophorolipids production*

Candida bombicola ATCC 22214 was able to produce sophorolipids under aerobic conditions, on GYU medium at 30°C using oleic acid (as a second carbon source) at different concentrations after 120 h. The production of sophorolipids starts when the yeast cells enter in stationary phase once they have been triggered by a high carbon/nitrogen ratio (Davila et al., 1992). Typically, growth rate is dependent upon the hydrophilic substrate used; in our study, glucose is the hydrophilic substrate of choice whilst oleic acid was chosen as the hydrophobic substrate for sophorolipid synthesis.

Different studies have shown that the use of a second lipidic carbon source helps to increase the production yield of sophorolipids. Particularly favourable sources include rapeseed oil and most vegetable oils that are rich in C16-18 fatty acids; these carbon sources are more favourable to renewable production practices, readily incorporated into the sophorolipid molecule, and add an additional control over molecular diversity (Saerens et al., 2015; Delbeke et al., 2016). During SL biosynthesis, the enzyme CYP52M1 catalyses oxygenation of the fatty acids. The enzyme largely determines the length of the fatty acid chain within the molecule and has a high specificity towards stearic acid (18 carbons, 0 double bonds, C18:0) and oleic acid (C18:1) which are then mirrored in the fatty acid model of the sophorolipid molecule. However, the sophorolipids produced by *C. bombicola* are not typically pure compounds but consist of a mixture of molecules with variations in molecular weights, chain length, position of hydroxylation and differences in the saturation of the fatty acid chain (Van Bogaert et al., 2007). The organism has a preference to produce lactonic congeners of the SLs, however they are typically produced as a mixture of different congeners with two major points of variation: acetylation in the sophorose moiety, and lactonisation (Costa et al., 2018).

The achievement of such congeners is particularly important when considering the potential therapeutic applications, since acidic and lactonic SLs have been demonstrated to have different physicochemical and biological activities. Lactonic SLs for example show higher antimicrobial, virucide, and anti-cancer activity (Shao et al., 2012), whereas acidic SLs show higher spermicidal and proinflammatory activity (Shah et al., 2005). The predominance for the production of the acidic or lactonized form is mostly dependant on the tendency of the metabolic route, which is affected by the fermentation time and hydrophobic substrate used (Daniel et al., 1998). In this study, changing the concentration of oleic acid and varying the fermentation process in terms of time had an effect on the production of different congeners (Fig. 1).

ESI–MS analysis of each purified product was carried out, Fig. 1A revealed the presence of a sophorolipid congener produced by *C. bombicola* ATCC 22214 when grown in 2% v/v oleic acid. A dominant peak in the ESI–MS showed a pseudomolecular ion of m/z 621–622 (Fig. 1A), corresponding to a nonacetylated C18:0 SL.

Table 1. Identification of sophorolipid analogs based on m/z peaks in negative mode $[M- H^+]$

SL structural forms	m/z $[M- H^+]$
Nonacetylated SL of C18:0, acidic form	623
Diacetylated SL of C16:0, lactonic form	661
Monoacetylated SL of C18:1, acidic form	663
Diacetylated SL of C18:2, lactonic form	685
Diacetylated SL of C18:1, acidic form	705

This form has previously been reported (Kasturi and Prabhune, 2013) and it is one of the acidic congeners; for this study, it has been denominated as SLA for all antimicrobial experiments carried out. The presence of the different congeners was observed when the

concentration of oleic acid was changed to 1.5% and 4% v/v. Different peaks were detected (Fig. 1b) corresponding to different acidic and lactonic congeners (Table 1) (Fig. 1b). For all the antimicrobial experiments, the product that contains a mixture of acidic and lactonic congeners was called SLV. The purified product that mainly consisted of the lactonic form of sophorolipids, on the other hand was designated as SL18.

3.2 The effect of acidic SLA, lactonic SL18 and mixed SLV sophorolipids on pre-formed biofilms on medical-grade silicone elastomeric discs.

The ability of SLA, SL18 and SLV to disrupt biofilms formed by either *C. albicans*, *S. aureus* and *P. aeruginosa* was tested through the MTT assay. Optical densities at 570 nm of each microorganism vs individual biosurfactant concentrations are shown in Fig. 2. All strains tested showed the ability to form biofilms on medical-grade silicone discs under the conditions described here. The combined effect of all biosurfactants used (concentrations above 0.1% w/v) on the disruption of *S. aureus* and *P. aeruginosa* biofilms was significant. An average of 75% lower metabolic activity was estimated through the MTT assay, using NAD (P) H-dependent cellular oxidoreductase enzyme (under the conditions used in this study) as a reflection of the number of viable cells present (Berridge et al., 2005). However, analysis of the SEM images revealed a less significant effect, indicating that the biofilm structure was preserved post-treatment (data not shown).

The Gram-positive microorganism *S. aureus* can produce a multi-layered biofilm matrix representing subpopulations of bacteria embedded within a glycocalyx (Archer et al., 2011). Infections caused by methicillin resistant *S. aureus* (MRSA) are a serious problem with a high occurrence in hospital inpatients and healthcare professionals. Some anti-staphylococcal

antibiotics are available, although the treatment options for MRSA infections remain limited due to the increasing occurrence of antibiotic resistant phenotypes (Samadi et al., 2012). Additional environmental factors such as the selective pressures within the distinct matrix layers have been shown to further encourage resistance (Xu et al., 2000; Singh et al., 2010) highlighting the importance of developing effective biofilm disruptor therapies. The thickness of the biofilms formed by these microorganisms are expected to be more than 400µm (Costerton et al., 1995), which could be the main reason why disruption mediated by sophorolipids was not evident through SEM, the 3D structure of the biofilm were still visible but the cells that formed this structure were metabolically compromised as indicated by the MTT assay (Fig. 2A). Such bactericidal effect of sophorolipids on mixed cultures of *B. subtilis* and *Staphylococcus aureus* has been reported before (Diaz De Rienzo et al., 2015), which gives an added value to these molecules for potential biomedical applications.

P. aeruginosa can form biofilms in different environments and it is the responsible for many acute and chronical infections, plus it is one of the major nosocomial pathogens in patients with cystic fibrosis (Chen et al., 2018). The clinical relevance and the relative ease of biofilm growth has made *P. aeruginosa* a model organism on biofilm formation studies (Maurice et al., 2018). There have been progress on the development of new treatments for biofilm infections produced by *P. aeruginosa* which involves the use of cationic antimicrobial peptides which are found naturally in a wide variety of organisms and constitute a major component of the innate immune system (Beaudoin, et al., 2018), glycoclusters (Boukerb, et. Al, 2014), plant extracts (Zameer, et al., 2016), biosurfactants (Diaz De Rienzo et al., 2016) among others. The treatment of the *P. aeruginosa* ATCC 10145 biofilms with SLV was the most effective with a disruption about 75% (Fig. 2B) on medical-grade silicone discs. SLV is a mixed of lactonic and acidic isomers, and this results confirm those showed before where

sophorolipids from Ecover® had a bactericidal effect against cells of *P. aeruginosa* ATCC 15442 within a period on 30 min (Diaz De Rienzo et al., 2016).

C. albicans usually produces biofilms composed of multiple cell types (i.e., round, budding yeast-form cells; oval pseudohyphal cells; and elongated, cylindrical hyphal cells) encased in an extracellular matrix (Chandra et al., 2001; Dominic et al., 2007). These microorganisms are responsible for at least 15% of the total sepsis cases acquired within a clinical setting, moreover, their occurrence accounts for the fourth most common determinant of bloodstream infections in clinical settings, and the predominant fungal species isolated from medical device infections (Wenzel, 1995; Wisplighoff, 2004), therefore highlighting the importance of the disruption on medical-grade silicone discs.

The disruptive effects of SLA, SLV (at all the concentrations tested) and SL18 (at concentrations above 0.1% w/v) on *C. albicans* IHEM 2894 biofilms showed 80% inhibition (evaluated as an indirect measure of the metabolic activity) (Fig. 2C). To our knowledge, this study is the first reporting sophorolipids as antimicrobial disruptors of *C. albicans* biofilms. The recent emergence of lipopeptide biosurfactants as a new generation of agents with anti-adhesive and antimicrobial properties with enhanced biocompatibility provide potential commercial applications in the pharmaceutical and biomedical fields (Cameotra and Makkar, 2004; Fracchia et al., 2015; Ceresa et al., 2016). This work shows the potential use of lactonic sophorolipids as disruptive agents at concentrations as low as 0.05% w/v.

3.3 Antimicrobial properties of SLA, SL18 and SLV on *C. albicans*, *P. aeruginosa* and *S. aureus*

The antimicrobial effect of SLA, SL18 and SLV (at different concentrations) on all the microbial strains were evaluated under co-incubation experimental conditions (Fig. 3). All the treatments resulted in a significant reduction of the total adherent cells and biofilm biomass from *C. albicans* and *S. aureus* compared to the controls, whilst no effect was detected against cells of *P. aeruginosa* (data not shown). SLA (at 0.05% and 0.1% w/v) showed the highest impact in preventing the attachment of both *S. aureus* and *C. albicans* cells, although lactonic SLs have been reported to have better surface tension lowering and antimicrobial activity as compared to the acidic form (de Oliveira et al., 2015). Under the conditions of the present study, the acidic form displayed superior antimicrobial activity. The findings presented here are thought to be mainly due to the hydrophilic properties of the SLs in solution enabling the formation of smaller globular micelles, which therefore interact more closely with the microbial cells.

The antimicrobial effect of sophorolipids on *S. aureus* cells have been reported before (Diaz De Rienzo et al., 2015) where sophorolipids (a congeners mix) at 5% v/v induced disruption on mature maximal biofilms of *B. subtilis* BBK006 as well as a mixed culture containing *B. subtilis* BBK006 and *S. aureus*. In both cases, the cells exhibited an outpouring of cytoplasmic contents due to the presence of the intracellular enzyme malate dehydrogenase, indicating the interaction of sophorolipids with the cellular membrane increasing permeability (Dengle-Pulate et al., 2014). In this study, the concentration used was 50 times lower (0.05% w/v) and the inhibition on the biofilm biomass was up to 90% with no visible cytoplasmic content (Fig 3A).

To our knowledge, there is no report on the antimicrobial effect of sophorolipids on the inhibition on the biofilm biomass of *C. albicans* when co-incubated with concentrations

between 0.025-0.1% w/v of sophorolipids concentration. In this study, the experiments were carried out at two different times: 1.5 h and 24 h (fig. 3B and 3C respectively). In general, the highest reduction in the cell attachment (>95%) was achieved after 24h of incubation. Different studies showed the effect of different biosurfactants against *C. albicans* biofilms (Ceresa et al., 2016), where the effect of a lipopeptide AC7 BS (0.5-3 mg/mL) was evaluated on *C. albicans* 40, *C. albicans* 42 and *C. albicans* IHEM 2894, resulting in a significant reduction of the total adherent cells and biofilm biomass (with a maximum inhibition of 68% at 2mg/ml). Additionally, the influence of lipopeptides from *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* strain on polystyrene plates was shown to inhibit *C. albicans* biofilm formation between 46-100%, depending on the concentration and on *Candida* strains (Rautela et al., 2014).

3.4 Anti-adhesive properties of SL18 on biofilms formed by *C. albicans*, *P. aeruginosa* and *S. aureus*

The anti-adhesive properties of SL18 were tested on cells of *S. aureus*, *P. aeruginosa* (after 24h) and *C. albicans* (at 1.5 h and after 24 h). Concentrations from 0.2-0.8% w/v were tested, and total biofilm biomass was quantified (Fig. 4). Pre-coating experiments revealed the biofilm formation and adhesion properties of *S. aureus* and *C. albicans* were progressively reduced as a function of increased SL18 concentrations, with SL18 0.8% showing the greatest inhibitory effect towards cell attachment to the silicone discs. On the other hand, under the same conditions no anti-adhesive effect was shown on cells of *P. aeruginosa*. Biosurfactants can disrupt phospholipid membranes and affect the cell-to-cell surface interactions by decreasing hydrophobicity and interfering with the cell deposition and microbial adhesion processes (Rodrigues et al., 2006). Certain structural analogues of SLs have been shown in previous studies to inhibit conidia germination in the fungus *Glomerella cingulata* (Kitamoto and Isoda, 2002). SLs have also

demonstrated an inhibitory effect on the growth of some Gram-positive bacteria, which include *B. acillus subtilis*, *Micrococcus luteus*, *Neisseria mucosa* and *Mycobacterium rubrum* (Elshikh et al., 2017) and *Streptococcus oralis*, as well as Gram-negative bacteria including *Escherichia coli*, *Serratia marcescens* (de Oliveira et al., 2015) when deposited onto polystyrene surfaces. Antimicrobial activity of lactonic sophorolipids (98% lactonic SL mixture composed of C18:1 and C18:0) was previously reported for action against *Propionibacterium acnes*, and demonstrates inhibitory action at 2.4 mg/ml on films of pectin- and alginate-based SL composites (Ashby et al., 2011).

In this study, *S. aureus* ATCC 6538 cells were incubated for 24h (Fig. 4A), and a 75% inhibition on the cell attachment was visible when SL18 (0.8% w/v) was used, in comparison with the controls where the silicone discs were not pre-treated using biosurfactants. Previous studies have shown pre-treatment of catheters using minocycline and rifampin significantly decreases the incidence of central line-associated bloodstream infections caused by *S. aureus* in a medical intensive care unit in a manner that was independent and complimentary to precautionary measures for infection control (Ramos et al., 2011). However, this is the first time that pre-treatment of medical grade silicone discs with SL18 has shown a high percentage of inhibition after 24h incubation.

In the assays with *C. albicans*, analysis was carried out at 1.5 and 24 h incubation (Fig. 4B and 4C). At 1.5 h the *C. albicans* cells were in the initial phase of adhesion and the yeast cell counts were very low compared with the 24 h incubation (as can be seen through the SEM images). After 1.5 h the inhibition was in the range of 45-56% whilst after 24 h the percentage of inhibition on the cell attachment increased (using 0.8% w/v) was in the range of 68-70%. These results are in contrast to previous reports (Ceresa et al., 2016), where the medical silicone discs treated with 2 mg/mL the lipopeptide biosurfactant AC7 BS were able

to significantly reduced the cell attachment (*C. albicans*) at a range of 57.7–62.0 % at 1.5 h and in a range of 45.9–47.6 % after 24 h of incubation. This is a clear indication that the inhibition at different stages depends on the disc treatment, referring to the nature of the antimicrobial agent.

4. CONCLUSIONS

Our results indicate that sophorolipids (acidic, lactonic or mixed congener form) are able to reduce the biofilm biomass that is able to form 3D mature films on medical grade silicone discs under the conditions tested in this study. These results also display strong anti-adhesive properties with up to 75% inhibition in the pre-treated group. However, further investigations are needed to explore the effects of lower concentrations, as well as studies of cytotoxicity to be able to extend the use of sophorolipids as antimicrobial molecules with commercial impact in different biotechnology fields.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors acknowledge the assistance of Dr Lakshmi Tripathi (University of Ulster), with the ESI-MS experiments; MSc Marta Lajarin-Cuesta (Liverpool John Moores University) with the sophorolipids production and Erica Tambone with the biofilm's experiments. We also acknowledge the funding support from the Faculty of Science, Liverpool John Moores University ECR Fellowship 2017-2018, the support of the Compagnia di San Paolo (Excellent Young PI-2014 Call), and the support of the Università degli Studi del Piemonte Orientale through their Research Fellowship (Bando Fondazione CRT, Id. 393).

REFERENCES

- Archer, N.K., Mazaitis, M.J., Costerton, J.W., Leid, J.G., Powers, M.E., Shirtliff, M.E. 2011. *Staphylococcus aureus* biofilms: properties, regulation, and roles in human disease. *Virulence*. 2(5), 445-459.
- Ashby, R.D., Zerkowski, J.A., Solaiman, D.K., Liu, L.S. 2011. Biopolymer scaffolds for use in delivering antimicrobial sophorolipids to the acne-causing bacterium *Propionibacterium acnes*. *N biotechnol*. 28(1), 24-30.
- Basak, P., Adhikari, B., Banerjee, I., Maiti, T.K. 2009. Sustained release of antibiotic from polyurethane coated implant materials. *J Mater Sci Mater Med*; 20, 213-221.
- Beaudoin, T., Stone, T.A., Glibowicka, M., Adams, C., Yau, Y., Ahmadi, S., Bear, C.E., Grasmann, H., Waters, V., Deber, C.M. 2018. Activity of a novel antimicrobial peptide against *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilms. *Sci Rep*. 8(1), 14728.
- Berridge, M.V., Herst, P.M., Tan, A.S. 2005. Tetrazolium dyes as tools in cell biology: new insights into their cellular reduction. *Biotechnol Annu Rev*. 11, 127-152.
- Boukerb, A.M., Rousset, A., Galanos, N., Mear, J.B., Thepaut, M., Grandjean, T., Gillon, E., Cecioni, S., Abderrahmen, C., Faure, K., Redelberger, D. 2014. Antiadhesive properties of glycoclusters against *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* lung infection. *Journal of medicinal chemistry*. 57(24), 10275-89.

499 Bryers, J.D. 2008. Medical biofilms. *Biotechnol Bioeng*; 100(1), 1-18.

501 Buijssen, K.J., Harmsen, H.J., van der Mei, H.C., Busscher, H.J., van der Laan, B.F.
502 2007. Lactobacilli: important in biofilm formation on voice prostheses. *Otolaryngol*
503 *Head Neck Surg*; 137, 505-507.

505 Cameotra, S.S., Makkar, R.S. 2004. Recent applications of bio- surfactants as biological
506 and immunological molecules. *Curr Opin Microbiol.* 7, 262-266.

508 Castelli, G.P., Pognani, C., Stuardi, A., Cita, M., Paladini, R. 2007. Central venous
509 catheter replacement in the ICU: new site versus guidewire exchange. *Minerva*
510 *Anesthesiol.* 73(5), 267-273.

512 Ceresa, C., Rinaldi, M., Chiono, V., Carmagnola, I., Allegrone, G., Fracchia, L. 2016.
513 Lipopeptides from *Bacillus subtilis* AC7 inhibit adhesion and biofilm formation of
514 *Candida albicans* on silicone. *Anton Van Leeuw.* 109, 1375-1388.

516 Ceresa, C., Tessarolo, F., Caola, I., Nollo, G., Cavallo, M., Rinaldi, M., Fracchia, L.
517 2015. Inhibition of *Candida albicans* adhesion on medical-grade silicone by a
518 *Lactobacillus*-derived biosurfactant. *J Appl Microbiol.* 118, 1116-1125.

520 Chandra, J., Kuhn, D.M., Mukherjee, P.K., Hoyer, L.L., McCormick, T., Ghannoum,
521 M.A. 2001. Biofilm formation by the fungal pathogen *Candida albicans*: development,
522 architecture, and drug resistance. *J Bacteriol.* 183, 5385-5394.

Chandra, J., Mukherjee, P.K., Ghannoum, M.A. 2008. In vitro growth and analysis of *Candida* biofilms. Nat Protoc. 3, 1909-1924.

Chassot, F., Negri, M.F., Svidzinski, A.E., Donatti, L., Peralta, R.M., Svidzinski, T.I., Consolaro, M.E. 2008. Can intrauterine contraceptive devices be a *Candida albicans* reservoir? Contraception; 77, 355-359.

Chen, H., Wubbolts, R.W., Haagsman, H.P. 2018. Inhibition and eradication of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilms by host defence peptides. Sci Rep. 8(1), 10446.

Costa, J.A.V., Treichel, H., Santos, L.O., Martins, V.G. 2018. Chapter 16 - Solid-State Fermentation for the Production of Biosurfactants and Their Applications. In: Pandey A, Larroche C, Soccol CR, editors. Current Developments in Biotechnology and Bioengineering: Elsevier, pp. 357-72.

Costerton, J.W., Lewandowski, Z., Caldwell, D.E., Korber, D.R., Lappin-Scott, H.M. 1995. Microbial biofilms. Annu Rev Microbiol. 49, 711-745.

Daniel, H.J., Reuss, M., Syldatk, C. 1998. Production of sophorolipids in high concentration from deproteinized whey and rapeseed oil in a two stage fed batch process using *Candida bombicola* ATCC 22214 and *Cryptococcus curvatus* ATCC 20509. Biotechnol Lett. 20(12), 1153-1156.

Darouiche, R.O. 2001. Device-associated infections: a macroproblem that starts with microadherence. Clin Infect Dis. 33(9), 1567-1572.

Davila, A., Marchal, R., Vandecasteele, J. 1992. Kinetics and balance of a fermentation free from product inhibition: sophorose lipid production by *Candida bombicola*. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol. 38(1), 6-11.

de Oliveira, M.R., Magri, A., Baldo, C., Camilios-Neto, D., Minucelli, T., Colabone, M.A. 2015. Sophorolipids A Promising Biosurfactant and it's Applications. Int J Adv Biotechnol. 6(2), 161-174.

Delbeke, E., Movsisyan, M., Van Geem, K., Stevens, C. 2016. Chemical and enzymatic modification of sophorolipids. Green Chem. 18(1), 76-104.

Dempsey, K.E., Riggio, M.P., Lennon, A., Hannah, V.E., Ramage, G., Allan, D., Bagg, J. 2007. Identification of bacteria on the surface of clinically infected and noninfected prosthetic hip joints removed during revision arthroplasties by 16S rRNA gene sequencing and by microbiological culture. Arthritis Res Ther; 9(3), R46.

Dengle-Pulate, V., Chandorkar, P., Bhagwat, S., Prabhune, A.A. 2014. Antimicrobial and SEM studies of sophorolipids synthesized using lauryl alcohol. J Surfact Deterg. 17, 543-552.

Diaz De Rienzo, M.A., Banat, I.M., Dolman, B., Winterburn, J., Martin, P.J. 2015. Sophorolipid biosurfactants: Possible uses as antibacterial and antibiofilm agent. N Biotechnol. 32(6), 720-726.

Diaz De Rienzo, M.A., Stevenson, P.S., Marchant, R., Banat, I.M. 2016. Effect of biosurfactants on *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Staphylococcus aureus* biofilms in a BioFlux channel. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol. 100(13), 5773-5779.

Donlan, R.M., Costerton, J.W. 2002. Biofilms: survival mechanisms of clinically relevant microorganisms. Clin Microbiol Rev. 15(2), 167-193.

Dominic, R.M., Shenoy, S., Baliga, S. 2007. *Candida biofilms* in medical devices: evolving trends. Kathmandu Univ Med J. 5, 431-436.

Elshikh, M., Moya-Ramírez, I., Moens, H., Roelants, S., Soetaert, W., Marchant, R., Banat, I.M. 2017. Rhamnolipids and lactonic sophorolipids: natural antimicrobial surfactants for oral hygiene. J Appl Microbiol. 23(5), 1111-1123.

Fracchia, L., Cavallo, M., Martinotti, M.G., Banat, I.M. 2012. Biosurfactants and bioemulsifiers: biomedical and related applications - Present status and future potentials – Chapter 14; Biomedical Science, Engineering and Technology.

Fracchia, L., Banat, J.J., Cavallo, M., Ceresa, C., Banat, I.M. 2015. Potential therapeutic applications of microbial surface-active compounds. AIMS Bioeng. 2, 144-162.

Ganguly, S., Mitchell, A. 2011. Mucosal biofilms of *Candida albicans*. Curr Opin Microbiol. 14(4), 380-385.

Hall-Stoodley, L., Costerton, J.W., Stoodley, P. 2004. Bacterial biofilms: from the natural environment to infectious diseases. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2(2), 95-108.

Hall-Stoodley, L., Stoodley, P. 2009. Evolving concepts in biofilm infections. Cellular Microbiology. 11(7), 1034-1043.

Imamura, Y., Chandra, J., Mukherjee, P.K., Lattif, A.A., Szczotka-Flynn, L.B., Pearlman, E., Lass, J.H., O'Donnell, K., Ghannoum, M.A. 2008. *Fusarium* and *Candida albicans* biofilms on soft contact lenses: model development, influence of lens type, and susceptibility to lens care solutions. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 52(1), 171-182.

Kasturi, J., Prabhune, A. 2013. A Biosurfactant-Sophorolipid Acts in Synergy with Antibiotics to Enhance Their Efficiency. Biomed Res Int. 1-8.

Kitamoto, D.H., Isoda, T. 2002. Functions and potential applications of glycolipids surfactants -from energy- saving materials to gene delivery carriers. J Biosci Bioeng. 94, 187-201.

Kojic, E.M., Darouiche, R.O. 2004. Candida infections of medical devices. Clin Microbiol Rev. 17(2), 255-267.

Krasowska, A. 2010. Biomedical activity of biosurfactants. Postepy Hig Med Dosw. 64, 310-313.

Lambe, D.W., Ferguson, K.P., Mayberry-Carson, K.J., Tober-Meyer, B., Costerton, J.W.
1991. Foreign-body-associated experimental osteomyelitis induced with *Bacteroides*
fragilis and *Staphylococcus epidermidis* in rabbits. Clin Orthop. 266, 285-294.

Litzler, P.Y., Benard, L., Barbier-Frebourg, N., Vilain, S., Jouenne, T., Beucher, E.,
Bunel, C., Lemeland, J.F., Bessou, J.P. 2007. Biofilm formation on pyrolytic carbon
heart valves: influence of surface free energy, roughness, and bacterial species. J Thorac
Cardiovasc Surg. 134, 1025-1032.

Marchant, R., Banat, I.M. 2012. Biosurfactants: a sustainable replacement for chemical
surfactants. Biotechnol Lett. 34, 1597-1605.

Maurice, N.M., Bedi, B., Sadikot, R.T. 2018. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilms: host
response and clinical implications in lung infections. Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol. 58(4),
428-39.

Odds, F.C. 1988. *Candida* and Candidosis: a review and bibliography. 2nd edition.
London: Baillière Tindall.

Petrelli, D., Zampaloni, C., D'Ercole, S., Prenna, M., Ballarini, P., Ripa, S., Vitali, L.A.
2006. Analysis of different genetic traits and their association with biofilm formation in
Staphylococcus epidermidis isolates from central venous catheter infections. Eur J Clin
Microbiol Infect Dis. 2, :773-781.

.

Pfaller, M.A., Diekema, D.J. 2007. Epidemiology of invasive candidiasis: a persistent public health problem. Clin Microbiol Rev. 20, 133-163.

Ramos, E.R., Reitzel, R., Jiang, Y., Hachem, R.Y., Chaftari, A.M., Chemaly, R.F., Hackett, B., Pravinkumar, S.E., Nates, J., Tarrand, J.J., Raad, II. 2011. Clinical effectiveness and risk of emerging resistance associated with prolonged use of antibiotic-impregnated catheters: more than 0.5 million catheter days and 7 years of clinical experience. Crit Care Med 39, 245-251.

Rautela, R., Singh, A.K., Shukla, A., Cameotra, S.S. 2014. Lipopeptides from *Bacillus* strain AR2 inhibits biofilm formation by *Candida albicans*. Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek. 105:809-821.

Rodrigues, L., Banat, I.M., Teixeira, J., Oliveira, R. 2006a. Biosurfactants: potential applications in medicine. J Antimicrob Chemother. 57, 609-618.

Rodrigues, L.R. 2011. Inhibition of bacterial adhesion on medical devices. Adv Exp Med Biol; 715, 351-367.

Römling, U., Balsalobre, C. 2012. Biofilm infections, their resilience to therapy and innovative treatment strategies. J Intern Med; 272:541-561.

Saerens, K.M., Van Bogaert, I.N., Soetaert, W. 2015. Characterization of sophorolipid biosynthetic enzymes from *Starmerella bombicola*. FEMS Yeast Res. 15(7), fov075.

Samadi, N., Abadian, N., Ahmadkhaniha, R., Amini, F., Dalili, D., Rastkari, N.,
Safaripour, E., Mohseni, F.A. 2012. Structural characterization and surface activities of
biogenic rhamnolipid surfactants from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolate MN1 and
synergistic effects against methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. Folia Microbiol
(Praha). 57(6), 501-508.

Shah, V., Doncel, G.F., Seyoum, T., Eaton, K.M., Zalenskaya, I., Hagver, R., Azim, A.,
Gross, R. 2005. Sophorolipids, microbial glycolipids with anti-human immunodeficiency
virus and sperm-immobilizing activities. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 49(10), 4093-
4100.

Shah, V., Badia, D., Ratsep, P. 2007. Sophorolipids having enhanced antibacterial
activity. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 51(1):397-400.

Shao, L., Song, X., Ma, X., Li, H., Qu, Y. 2012. Bioactivities of Sophorolipid with
Different Structures Against Human Esophageal Cancer Cells. J Surg Res. 173(2), 286-
291.

Singh, R., Ray, P., Das, A., Sharma, M. 2010. Penetration of antibiotics through
Staphylococcus aureus and *Staphylococcus epidermidis* biofilms. J Antimicrob
Chemother. 65(9), 1955-1958.

Smyth, T.J.P., Perfumo, A., Marchant, R., Banat, I.M. 2009. Isolation and analysis of
low molecular weight microbial glycolipids. In: Timmis KN (ed) Handbook of
hydrocarbon and lipid microbiology. Springer, Berlin. pp. 3705-3724.

695 Tong, S., Davis, J., Eichenberger, E., Holland, T., Fowler, V. 2015. *Staphylococcus*
696 *aureus* Infections: Epidemiology, Pathophysiology, Clinical Manifestations, and
697 Management. Clin Microbiol Rev. 28(3): 603-661.

698
699 Trafny, E.A., Lewandowski, R., Zawistowska-Marciniak, I., Stepinska, M. 2013. Use of
700 MTT assay for determination of the biofilm formation capacity of microorganisms in
701 metalworking fluids. World J Microbiol Biotechnol. 29(9), 1635-1343.

702
703 Van Bogaert, I.N.A., Saerens, K., De Muynck, C., Develter, D., Soetaert, W.,
704 Vandamme, E.J. 2007. Microbial production and application of sophorolipids. Appl
705 Microbiol Biotechnol. 76(1), 23-34.

706
707 von Eiff, C., Kohnen, W., Becker, K., Jansen, B. 2005. Modern strategies in the
708 prevention of implant-associated infections. Int J Artif Organs. 28(11), 1146-1156.

709
710 Wenzel, R.P. 1995. Nosocomial candidemia: risk factors and attributable mortality. Clin
711 Infect Dis. 20, 1531-1534.

712
713 Wisplinghoff, H., Bischoff, T., Tallent, S.M., Seifert, H., Wenzel, R.P., Edmond, M.B.
714 2004. Nosocomial bloodstream infections in US hospitals: analysis of 24,179 cases from
715 a prospective nationwide surveillance study. Clin Infect Dis. 39, 309-317.

716
717 Xu, K.D., McFeters, G.A., Stewart, P.S. 2000. Biofilm resistance to antimicrobial agents.
718 Microbiology. 146(3), 547-549.

Zameer, F., Rukmangada, M.S., Chauhan, J.B., Khanum, S.A., Kumar, P., Devi, A.T.,
Nagendra Prasad, M.N., Dhananjaya, B.L. 2016. Evaluation of adhesive and anti-
adhesive properties of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilms and its inhibition by herbal
plants. Iran J Microbiol 8(2), 108-119.

Zezi do Valle Gomes, M., Nitschke, M. 2012. Evaluation of rhamnolipid and surfactin
to reduce the adhesion and remove biofilms of individual and mixed cultures of food
pathogenic bacteria. Food Control. 25, 441-447.

FIGURE CAPTIONS

Figure 1. A. ESI-MS analysis of SLA. Spectrum of partially purified extracts from fermented cells of *C. bombicola*. Oleic acid 2% was induced after 48 h and 120 h. B. ESI-MS analysis of SLV. Spectrum of partially purified extracts from fermented cells of *C. bombicola*. Oleic acid 4% was induced once after 48 h.

Figure 2. Sophorolipids activity against *S. aureus* 24 h pre-formed biofilm on medical grade silicone discs (A), *P. aeruginosa* 24 h pre-formed biofilm (B) and *C. albicans* 24 h pre-formed biofilm (C) evaluated by the MTT assay. Three different products were used: SLA, SL18 and SLV at different concentrations.

Figure 3. Activity of sophorolipids on co-incubation experiments. Biofilms formed by (A) *S. aureus* 24 h and (B) *C. albicans* 1.5 h and (C) *C. albicans* 24 h evaluated by crystal violet assay. Scanning electron micrographs showed the control cells (left) and treated with SLA 0.1% w/v (right). The magnification bar for image A is 10 μm , and for images B and C is 20 μm .

Figure 4. Activity of SL18 adhered to medical grade silicone discs on biofilm formation of (A) *S. aureus* 24 h and (B) *C. albicans* 1.5 h and (C) *C. albicans* 24 h evaluated by crystal violet assay. Scanning electron micrographs showed the control cells and treated with SL18 0.8% (w/v). The magnification bar for image A is 10 μm , and for images B and C is 50 μm .